| Objective/Criteria | Performance Indicators | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Exceptional (Perfect/error free) | Good (A range) | Meets Expectations (B range) | Needs Improvement (C range) | Unsatisfactory (Failing) |
| Content: assignment requirements addressed; demonstrates understanding of material appropriate to grade level; writer's position and intent are clear; 30% of total noints | Quality work that exceeds expectations in terms of quality and accuracy with no errors. | | Work meets the criterion, includes analysis, synthesis and/or evaluation of relevant information and concepts, contains errors that do not impair reader's understanding | Work reflects an attempt to meet the criterion but does not minimally meet the criterion and/or work does not include analysis, synthesis and/or evaluation of relevant information and concepts | Multiple criterion are missing; contain sufficient errors to make meaning difficult to follow |
| Research: incorporates scholarly research that strengthens and supports writer's position 20% | Exeeds research expectations with minimal direct quotes and no errors | Exeeds research expectations with minimal direct quotes and minimal errors | Meets stated research expectations, includes acceptable number of direct quotes | Fails to meet research expectation and/or relies heavily on direct quotes rather than paraphrasing | Includes minimal research; research demonstrated only through direct quotes/no paraphrasing |
| Critical thinking: arguments are logical; position is well defended; paper flows logically 20% | A level of critical thinking that exceeds expectations; strong/convincing logic with no errors | Strong critical thinking skills; strong/convincing logic with minimal errors | Demonstrates an acceptable level of critical thinking for grade level; flow is logical, but position only minimally defended | Demonstrates some critical thinking; logic is not consistently clear; position not defended | Minimal critical thinking; logic is convoluted or absent |
| Structure: contains a proper introduction and conclusion; utilizes proper paragraph format and academic voice; reads as a polished, | Quality exceeds expectations including intro and conclusion with no errors | Quality of paper structure exceeds expectations with minimal errors | Structure meets expectations with minimal errors | Structure does not meet graduate level expectations. May be missing intro or conclusion, or contains errors in voice, paragraph format, etc. | Does neither an intro or conclusion an lacks proper paragraph structure, academic voice, etc. |
| Mechanical - clean writing mechanics, with no spelling, grammatical or punctuation errors | NO writing errors | Minimal (<5) writing errors that do not distract reader | Some writing errors, but none that impact the reader's ability to understand message | Significant number of writing errors that reduce readability/professionalism of work | Writing errors make work difficult to read/reduce comprehension |
| APA - paper is in proper format and cited properly according to the APA Publication Manual | NO citation or formatting errors | Minimal (1-2) errors related to APA format or citation | Some errors in APA paper format or citation | Significant number of errors in APA format or citation | Demonstrates lack of understanding o APA |
| | | | | | out of 100% |